arXiv:1512.00405v1 [math.DG] 29 Nov 2015

On the Tchebychev Vector Field in the Relative Differential Geometry

Stylianos Stamatakis

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
Department of Mathematics
GR-54124 Thessaloniki, Greece
e-mail: stamata@math.auth.gr

Abstract

In this paper we deal with relative normalizations of hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Considering a relative normalization $\bar{y}$ of an hypersurface Φ we decompose the corresponding Tchebychev vector $\bar{T}$ in two components, one parallel to the Tchebychev vector $\bar{T}_{EUK}$ of the Euclidean normalization $\bar{\xi}$ and one parallel to the orthogonal projection $\bar{y}_T$ of $\bar{y}$ in the tangent hyperplane of Φ. We use this decomposition to investigate some properties of Φ, which concern its Gaussian curvature, the support function, the Tchebychev vector field etc.

MSC 2010: 53A05, 53A07, 53A15, 53A40

Keywords: Euclidean hypersurfaces, Relative normalizations, equiaffine normalization, Tchebychev vector

1 Introduction

To set the stage for this work the classical notation of relative differential geometry is briefly presented; for this purpose the paper [6] is used as general reference.

In the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ let $\Phi : \bar{x} = \bar{x}(u^i), (u^i) := (u^1, \cdots, u^n) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an injective C^r-immersion with Gaussian curvature $K \neq 0 \ \forall \ (u^i) \in U$. A C^s-mapping $\bar{y} : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ $(r > s \geq 1)$ is called a *relative C^s-normalization* if

$$\bar{y}(P) \notin T_P\Phi, \quad \bar{y}_{/i}(P) \in T_P\Phi \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n) \ \ ^{1} \tag{1}$$

at every point $P \in \Phi$, where $T_P\Phi$ is the tangent vector space of Φ at P.

The *covector* $\bar{X}$ of the tangent hyperplane is defined by

$$\langle \bar{X}, \bar{x}_{/i} \rangle = 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \bar{X}, \bar{y} \rangle = 1, \tag{2}$$

[1] Partial derivatives of a function f are denoted by $f_{/i} := \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^i}$, $f_{/ij} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u^i \partial u^j}$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denotes the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The *relative metric* G on U is introduced by

$$G_{ij} = \langle \bar{X}, \bar{x}_{/ij} \rangle. \tag{3}$$

The *support function* of the relative normalization $\bar{y}$ is defined by

$$q := \langle \bar{\xi}, \bar{y} \rangle : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad q \in C^s(U),$$

where $\bar{\xi} : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the *Euclidean normalization* of Φ. Because of (1) the support function q never vanishes on U. Furthermore, from (2) it follows the relation

$$\bar{X} = q^{-1}\bar{\xi}. \tag{4}$$

On account of (3) and (4), we obtain

$$G_{ij} = q^{-1} h_{ij}, \tag{5}$$

where h_{ij} are the components of the second fundamental form II of Φ. We mention that given a support function q, the relative normalization $\bar{y}$ is uniquely determined and possesses the following parametrization (see [3, p. 197])

$$\bar{y} = -h^{ij}\, q_{/i}\, \bar{x}_{/j} + q\,\bar{\xi}, \tag{6}$$

where h^{ij} are the components of the inverse tensor of h_{ij} [2].

Let Q be a definite quadratic form. For a $C^r(U)$-function f we denote by $\nabla^Q f$ the first Beltrami differential operator, by $\triangle^Q f$ the second Beltrami differential operator and by $\nabla_i^Q f$ the covariant derivative of f with respect to Q.

We consider the components

$$A_{ijk} := \langle \bar{X}, \nabla_k^G \nabla_j^G \bar{x}_{/i} \rangle$$

of the symmetric *Darboux tensor*. Then the *Tchebychev vector field* $\bar{T}$, which corresponds to the relative normalization $\bar{y}$, is defined by

$$\bar{T} = \frac{1}{n} A_{ijk}\, G^{jk}\, G^{im}\, \bar{x}_{/m}.$$

We mention that the relation

$$\frac{\triangle^G \bar{x}}{n} = \bar{T} + \bar{y}. \tag{7}$$

holds [2]. The *relative shape operator* B has the components $B_i^j : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\bar{y}_{/i} = -B_i^j\, \bar{x}_{/j}.$$

For the *relative mean curvature* $H := \frac{1}{n} B_i^i$ we have according to [3]

$$H = q\, H_I + \frac{1}{n}\left[\triangle^{II}(\ln q) + \frac{2-n}{4}\nabla^{II}(\ln q)\right], \tag{8}$$

where H_I is the Euclidean mean curvature of Φ.

[2] From now on we follow similar notation for the inverse of a given tensor

2 A decomposition of the Tchebychev vector field

Let $I = g_{ij}du^i du^j$ be the first fundamental form and $III = e_{ij}du^i du^j$ the third fundamental form of Φ. Taking into account the Weingarten equations

$$\bar{\xi}_{/i} = -h_{ij}\, g^{jk}\, \bar{x}_{/k},$$

and the relations (5), we obtain

$$\nabla^{II}(f, \bar{\xi}) = -\nabla^{I}(f, \bar{x}) = q^{-1}\, \nabla^{G}(f, \bar{\xi}), \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla^{II}(f, \bar{x}) = -\nabla^{III}(f, \bar{\xi}) = q^{-1}\, \nabla^{G}(f, \bar{x}). \tag{10}$$

We firstly compute the vectors $\triangle^{II}\bar{x}$ and $\triangle^{II}\bar{\xi}$. To this end we consider the components $T^i_{jk} = {}^{I}\Gamma^i_{jk} - {}^{II}\Gamma^i_{jk}$ of the *difference tensor* of the Levi-Civita connections with respect to I and II. It is known that the relations

$$T^k_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} h^{km}\, \nabla^I_m h_{ij},$$

$$\nabla^{II}_j \bar{x}_{/i} = T^k_{ij}\, \bar{x}_{/k} + h_{ij}\, \bar{\xi},$$

$$T^i_{im} = \frac{-K_{/m}}{2K}$$

hold on U (see [1, p. 22] and [3, p.197]). Using them and the Mainardi-Codazzi equations $\nabla^I_m h_{ij} - \nabla^I_j h_{im} = 0$ we find

$$-2h^{ij}\, T^k_{ij} = h^{ij}\, h^{km}\, \nabla^I_m h_{ij} = h^{km}\, h^{ij}\, \nabla^I_j h_{im} = -2h^{km}\, T^i_{im} = \frac{h^{km}}{K}\, K_{/m},$$

so that, by a direct computation, we arrive at

$$\triangle^{II}\bar{x} = \frac{-1}{2K}\nabla^{II}(K, \bar{x}) + n\, \bar{\xi}. \tag{11}$$

Following similar computation and taking account of the relations (for $n = 2$ see [1]),

$$\nabla^{II}_j \bar{\xi}_{/i} = -T^k_{ij}\, \bar{\xi}_{/k} - e_{ij}\, \bar{\xi},$$

$$H_I = \frac{e_{ij}\, h^{ij}}{n},$$

we find

$$\triangle^{II}\bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{2K}\nabla^{II}(K, \bar{\xi}) - n\, H_I\, \bar{\xi}. \tag{12}$$

Remark 1 *Relations (11) and (12) for the case $n = 2$ were proved in [7] with sign convention such that $\triangle = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ for the metric $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$.*

Continuing our considerations, we may compute the second Beltrami differential operator $\triangle^G f$ for a $C^r(U)$-function f. It is known ([3, p. 196]) that between the Levi-Civita connections with respect to G and II the relation

$$ {}^{G}\Gamma^k_{ij} = {}^{II}\Gamma^k_{ij} - \frac{\delta^k_i\, q_{/j} + \delta^k_j\, q_{/i} - h_{ij}\, h^{km}\, q_{/m}}{2q} $$

holds. By using them and (5), we obtain the relation

$$ \triangle^G f = q\, \triangle^{II} f - \frac{n-2}{2} \nabla^{II}(q, f). \tag{13} $$

We apply now (13) to $\bar{x}$ and make use of (6), (10) and (11). Thus we find

$$ \triangle^G \bar{x} = \frac{q}{2K} \nabla^{III}(K, \bar{\xi}) - \frac{n+2}{2} \nabla^{III}(q, \bar{\xi}) + n\, \bar{y}. \tag{14} $$

Similarly, by applying (13) to $\bar{\xi}$ and by using (9) and (12) we get

$$ \triangle^G \bar{\xi} = \frac{-q}{2K} \nabla^{I}(K, \bar{x}) + \frac{n-2}{2} \nabla^{I}(q, \bar{x}) - n\, q\, H_I\, \bar{\xi}. \tag{15} $$

Taking into account (7) and (14) we obtain for the Tchebychev vector field $\bar{T}$ of Φ, which corresponds to the support function q,

$$ \bar{T} = \frac{q}{2nK} \nabla^{III}(K, \bar{\xi}) - \frac{n+2}{2n} \nabla^{III}(q, \bar{\xi}). \tag{16} $$

In the case of the Euclidean normalization ($q = 1$) it is $\bar{y} = \bar{\xi}$, whereupon we find for the corresponding Tchebychev vector field

$$ \bar{T}_{EUK} = \frac{1}{2nK} \nabla^{III}(K, \bar{\xi}). \tag{17} $$

Introducing the tangent vector field

$$ \bar{Q} := \frac{1}{2nq} \nabla^{III}(q, \bar{\xi}) \tag{18} $$

of Φ and inserting this, as well as (17), in (16), we get

$$ \bar{T} = q\, \bar{T}_{EUK} - q\, (n+2)\, \bar{Q}. \tag{19} $$

Similarly, we obtain from (14)

$$ \triangle^G \bar{x} = n\, q\, [\bar{T}_{EUK} + (n-2)\, \bar{Q} + \bar{\xi}] \tag{20} $$

and from (6), (10)

$$ \bar{y} = q\, (2n\, \bar{Q} + \bar{\xi}), \tag{21} $$

i.e. the vector $\bar{Q}$ is parallel to the orthogonal projection $\bar{y}_T$ of the relative normalization $\bar{y}$ in the the tangent vector space $T_P\Phi$ of Φ at P.

From (19) and (21) we see that *the vector field* $\frac{1}{q}\left[2n\,\bar{T}+(n+2)\,\bar{y}\right]$ *is independent of the relative normalization and equals* $2n\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}+(n+2)\,\bar{\xi}$.

Finally, taking into account (10) and (16), we can write the Tchebychev vector field $\bar{T}$ as gradient (see [2, p. 243])

$$\bar{T}=\nabla^{G}(\ln\varphi(u^{i}),\bar{x}), \tag{22}$$

where

$$\varphi(u^{i})=|K|^{\frac{-1}{2n}}\cdot|q|^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}. \tag{23}$$

Consequently, $\bar{T}$ *is irrotational with respect to the relative metric* G.

3 Relatively normalized surfaces by ${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{y}$

We consider now the relative normalizations ${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{y}:U\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which are introduced by F. Manhart [3], and, on account of (6), are defined by the support functions

$${}^{(\alpha)}q:=|K|^{\alpha},\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{24}$$

Denoting by ${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{Q}$ the corresponding vector field and taking into account (17) and (18), we find

$${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{Q}=\alpha\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}. \tag{25}$$

Conversely, if the vector fields $\bar{Q}$ and $\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}$ are such that $\bar{Q}=\alpha\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}$ for $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, it turns out that

$$q=\lambda\,|K|^{\alpha}, \tag{26}$$

where $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^{*}:=\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ is an arbitrary constant. Consequently, we have the following

Proposition 2 *The vector fields* $\bar{Q}$ *and* $\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}$ *satisfy the relation* $\bar{Q}=\alpha\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}$ *for a constant* $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, *if and only if the support function has the form* $q=\lambda\,|K|^{\alpha}$, *where* $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^{*}$ *is an arbitrary constant.*

We denote by ${}^{(\alpha)}G$ the relative metric and by ${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{T}$ the Tchebychev vector with respect to the relative normalization ${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{y}$. Then from (19), (20), (21) and (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(\alpha)}\bar{y}&={}^{(\alpha)}q\,[2\alpha n\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}+\bar{\xi}],\\
{}^{(\alpha)}\bar{T}&={}^{(\alpha)}q\,[1-\alpha(n+2)]\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}, \qquad (27)\\
\triangle^{(\alpha)G}\bar{x}&=n\;{}^{(\alpha)}q\,\left\{[1+\alpha\,(n-2)]\,\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}+\bar{\xi}\right\}, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

while for the function $\varphi(u^{i})$ in (23) we find

$${}^{(\alpha)}\varphi(u^{i})=|K|^{\frac{\alpha(n+2)-1}{2n}}.$$

We note that the formulae (27)-(28) remain invariant if the support function q has the form (26).

In the one-parameter family of relative normalizations ${}^{(\alpha)}\bar{y}$, which are determined by the support functions ${}^{(\alpha)}q$, among other relative normalizations

- the *Euclidean normalization* (when $\alpha = 0$) and
- the *equiaffine normalization* (when $\alpha = 1/(n+2)$)

are contained. Furthermore we find

$$^{(0)}\bar{Q} = \bar{0}, \quad ^{(0)}\bar{y} = \bar{\xi}, \quad ^{(0)}\bar{T} = \bar{T}_{EUK},$$

$$^{(\frac{1}{n+2})}\bar{Q} = \frac{1}{n+2}\bar{T}_{EUK}, \quad ^{(\frac{1}{n+2})}\bar{y} = \sqrt[n+2]{|K|}\,[\frac{2n}{n+2}\bar{T}_{EUK} + \bar{\xi}], \quad ^{(\frac{1}{n+2})}\bar{T} = \bar{0}.$$

4 Applications

4.1. In this paragraph, using the vector fields $\bar{T}, \triangle^G\bar{x}$ and $\triangle^G\bar{\xi}$, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for the Gaussian curvature K of Φ to be constant or for the support function q to be of the form (26).

A. From (17) and (18) we have

$$\langle \bar{T}_{EUK}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = \frac{1}{2nK}dK,$$

$$\langle \bar{Q}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = \frac{1}{2nq}dq,$$

so that, from (20) we obtain

$$2\langle \triangle^G\bar{x}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = q\, d\left(\ln\left(|K|\cdot|q|^{n-2}\right)\right). \tag{29}$$

Hence, we have

Proposition 3 *(a) When $n = 2$, it holds $\langle \triangle^G\bar{x}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = 0$ at every point $P \in \Phi$ if and only if $K = const.$*
(b) When $n \geq 3$, the relation $\langle \triangle^G\bar{x}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = 0$ holds at every point $P \in \Phi$ if and only if the support function has the form $q = \lambda\,|K|^{\frac{1}{2-n}}$, where λ is an arbitrary not vanishing constant.

B. From (10) and (22) it follows

$$\langle \bar{T}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = -q\, d(\ln\varphi).$$

Obviously, we have $\langle \bar{T}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = 0$ if and only if the function $\varphi(u^i)$, which is defined in (23), is constant, or if and only if $|K|\cdot|q|^{-(n+2)} = const.$, i.e. the support function has the form

$$q = \lambda\,|K|^{\frac{1}{n+2}}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ is an arbitrary constant. So we have

Proposition 4 *The following properties are equivalent:*

(a) The function $\varphi(u^i) = |K|^{\frac{-1}{2n}} \cdot |q|^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}$ *is constant.*

(b) $\langle \bar{T}, d\bar{\xi} \rangle = 0$ *at every point* $P \in \Phi$.

(c) The support function has the form $q = \lambda\,|K|^{\frac{1}{n+2}}$, *where* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ *is an arbitrary constant.*

C. From (15) we have

$$2\langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = q\, d(\ln(|K|^{-1} \cdot |q|^{n-2})). \tag{30}$$

Consequently, we obtain

Proposition 5 *(a) When* $n = 2$, *it holds* $\langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = 0$ *at every point* $P \in \Phi$ *if and only if* $K = const.$

(b) When $n \geq 3$, *the relation* $\langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = 0$ *holds at every point* $P \in \Phi$ *if and only if the support function has the form* $q = \lambda\,|K|^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$, *where* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ *is an arbitrary constant.*

D. From (29) and (30) it follows

$$\langle \triangle^G \bar{x}, d\bar{\xi} \rangle + \langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = (n-2)\, dq,$$

$$\langle \triangle^G \bar{x}, d\bar{\xi} \rangle - \langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = \frac{q}{K} dK,$$

which lead to

Proposition 6 *(a) Let* $n = 2$. *For every relative normalization the relation* $\langle \triangle^G \bar{x}, d\bar{\xi} \rangle + \langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = 0$ *holds at every point* $P \in \Phi$.

(b) For $n \neq 2$ *the relation* $\langle \triangle^G \bar{x}, d\bar{\xi} \rangle + \langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = 0$ *holds at every point* $P \in \Phi$ *if and only if the support function* q *is constant.*

(c) The relation $\langle \triangle^G \bar{x}, d\bar{\xi} \rangle - \langle \triangle^G \bar{\xi}, d\bar{x} \rangle = 0$ *holds at every point* $P \in \Phi$ *if and only if the Gaussian curvature* K *is constant.*

4.2. Let $\bar{y}_i, i = 1, 2$, be two relative normalizations of Φ. We denote by q_i, G_i and $\bar{T}_i$ the corresponding support functions, relative metrics and Tchebychev vector fields, respectively.

A. From (21) it turns out

$$\langle \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \rangle = \nabla^{III}(q_1, q_2) + q_1\, q_2,$$

so that: *The relative normalizations* $\bar{y}_1$ *and* $\bar{y}_2$ *are orthogonal if and only if the corresponding support functions satisfy the relation*

$$\nabla^{III}(\ln|q_1|, \ln|q_2|) = -1.$$

B. On account of (18), we have:

Proposition 7 *The vector fields $\bar{Q}_1$ and $\bar{Q}_2$ satisfy the relation $\bar{Q}_2 = \alpha\,\bar{Q}_1$ for a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if the corresponding support functions q_1 and q_2 satisfy the relation $q_2 = \lambda\, q_1^{\alpha}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ is an arbitrary constant.*

For the corresponding relative normalizations and Tchebychev vector fields we find

$$\bar{y}_2 = \lambda\, q_1^{\alpha-1}\ \left[\bar{y}_1 + (\alpha-1)\ \nabla^{III}(q_1, \bar{\xi})\right],$$

$$\bar{T}_2 = \lambda\, q_1^{\alpha-1}\left[\bar{T}_1 - \frac{(\alpha-1)\,(n+2)}{2n}\nabla^{III}(q_1, \bar{\xi})\right].$$

C. We study now the case of two relative normalizations $\bar{y}_i, i = 1, 2$, for which there is a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, such that the corresponding Tchebychev vector fields satisfy the relation $\bar{T}_2 = \alpha\,\bar{T}_1$. Taking into account (19), we see that the last relation is equivalent to

$$(q_2 - \alpha\, q_1)\,\nabla^{III}(\ln|K|, \bar{\xi}) - (n+2)\ \nabla^{III}(q_2 - \alpha\, q_1, \bar{\xi}) = \bar{0}. \tag{31}$$

For $q_2 \neq \alpha\, q_1$ it follows that

$$|q_2 - \alpha\, q_1| = \lambda\, q_{_{AFF}}, \tag{32}$$

where $q_{_{AFF}} := |K|^{\frac{1}{n+2}}$ is the support function of the equiaffine normalization and λ is an arbitrary positive constant. For $q_2 = \alpha\, q_1$ the relation (32) is still valid (for $\lambda = 0$).

We denote by $\bar{y}_{_{AFF}}$ the equiaffine relative normalization. Then, by using (18) and (21), it turns out that (32) holds, if and only if the relative normalizations $\bar{y}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the relation

$$\bar{y}_2 = \alpha\,\bar{y}_1 + \mu\,\bar{y}_{_{AFF}},$$

for $\mu = \varepsilon\,\lambda$, where $\varepsilon = \operatorname{sign}(q_2 - \alpha\, q_1)$. So we have the result:

Proposition 8 *The following properties are equivalent:*

(a) The Tchebychev vector fields $\bar{T}_1$ and $\bar{T}_2$ satisfy the relation $\bar{T}_2 = \alpha\,\bar{T}_1$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) The support functions q_1 and q_2 satisfy the relation $|q_2 - \alpha\, q_1| = \lambda\, q_{_{AFF}}$, where λ is an arbitrary non-negative constant.

(c) The relative normalizations $\bar{y}_1$ and $\bar{y}_2$ satisfy the relation $\bar{y}_2 = \alpha\,\bar{y}_1 + \mu\,\bar{y}_{_{AFF}}$, where μ is an arbitrary constant.

Remark 9 *Given a relative normalization $\bar{y}_1$, a C^s-mapping $\bar{y}_2 : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying the relation $\bar{y}_2 = \alpha\,\bar{y}_1 + \mu\,\bar{y}_{_{AFF}}$, where $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, is a relative normalization if and only if $\alpha\, q_1 + \mu\, q_{_{AFF}} \neq 0$.*

From Proposition 8 we obtain the

Corollary 10 *(a) Let $\bar{y}_1$ be a relative normalization of Φ and $\bar{T}_1$ be the corresponding Tchebychev vector field. Then all relative normalizations of the one-parameter family*

$$\left\{\bar{y} \ / \ \bar{y} = \bar{y}_1 + \mu\, \bar{y}_{_{AFF}},\, \mu \in \mathbb{R},\ \mu \neq -q_1 \cdot q_{_{AFF}}^{-1}\right\},$$

have $\bar{T}_1$ as common corresponding Tchebychev vector field.

(b) All relative normalizations of the one-parameter family

$$\left\{\bar{y} \ / \ \bar{y} = \bar{\xi} + \mu\, \bar{y}_{_{AFF}},\ \mu \in \mathbb{R},\ \mu \neq -q_{_{AFF}}^{-1}\right\},$$

have $\bar{T}_{_{EUK}}$ as common corresponding Tchebychev vector field.

As immediate consequences of (7), (8) it follows:

Proposition 11 *If the Tchebychev vector fields $\bar{T}_1$ and $\bar{T}_2$ satisfy the relation $\bar{T}_2 = \alpha\, \bar{T}_1$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have*

(a) The vector fields $\triangle^{G_i}\bar{x}$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the relation

$$\triangle^{G_2}\bar{x} = \alpha\, \triangle^{G_1}\bar{x} + \frac{\mu}{n}\bar{y}_{_{AFF}},$$

where μ is an arbitrary constant.

(b) The corresponding relative mean curvatures H_i of the normalizations $\bar{y}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the relation

$$H_2 = \alpha\, H_1 + \mu\, H_{_{AFF}},$$

where $H_{_{AFF}}$ is the equiaffine mean curvature and μ is an arbitrary constant.

D. Finally, from (15) and (20) we have

$$\frac{\triangle^{G_1}\bar{x}}{q_1} - \frac{\triangle^{G_2}\bar{x}}{q_2} = \frac{n-2}{2}\nabla^{III}\left(\ln\left|\frac{q_1}{q_2}\right|, \bar{\xi}\right),$$

$$\frac{\bar{T}_1}{q_1} - \frac{\bar{T}_2}{q_2} = -\,(n+2)\ \left(\bar{Q}_1 - \bar{Q}_2\right) = -\frac{n+2}{2}\nabla^{III}\left(\ln\left|\frac{q_1}{q_2}\right|, \bar{\xi}\right),$$

$$\frac{\triangle^{G_1}\bar{\xi}}{q_1} - \frac{\triangle^{G_2}\bar{\xi}}{q_2} = \frac{n-2}{2}\nabla^{I}\left(\ln\left|\frac{q_1}{q_2}\right|, \bar{x}\right),$$

so that we conclude

Proposition 12 *(a) When $n = 2$, the vector fields $q^{-1}\triangle^G\bar{x}$ and $q^{-1}\triangle^G\bar{\xi}$ are independent of the normalization.*

(b) When $n \geq 3$ the following properties are equivalent:

(i) $\langle \frac{\triangle^{G_1}\bar{x}}{q_1} - \frac{\triangle^{G_2}\bar{x}}{q_2}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = 0$ *at every point $P \in \Phi$.*

(ii) $\langle \frac{\bar{T}_1}{q_1} - \frac{\bar{T}_2}{q_2}, d\bar{\xi}\rangle = 0$ *at every point $P \in \Phi$.*

(iii) $\langle \frac{\triangle^{G_1}\bar{\xi}}{q_1} - \frac{\triangle^{G_2}\bar{\xi}}{q_2}, d\bar{x}\rangle = 0$ *at every point $P \in \Phi$.*

(iv) $q_1 = \lambda\, q_2$, *where λ is an arbitrary non-vanishing constant.*

References

[1] Huck, H., Simon, U., Roitzsch, R., Vortisch, W., Walden R., Wegner, B., Wendland, W.: Beweismethoden der Differentialgeometrie im Grossen, Lecture Notes in Math. **335,** Springer, Berlin, 1973

[2] Heil, E.: Relative and affine normals. Results Math. **13**, 240-254 (1988)

[3] Manhart, F.: Relativgeometrische Kennzeichnungen euklidischer Hypersphären. Geom. Dedicata **29**, 193-207 (1989)

[4] Schirokow, P.A., Schirokow, A.P.: Affine Differentialgeometrie, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1962

[5] Schneider, R.: Closed convex hypersurfaces with second fundamental form of constant curvature. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35**, 230-233 (1972)

[6] Schneider, R.: Zur affinen Differentialgeometrie im Grossen I. Math. Z. **101**, 375-406 (1967)

[7] Stamatakis, S., Hassan Al-Zoubi: On surfaces of finite Chen-type. Results Math. **43**, 181-190 (2003)